#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff.

No. 06-CR-2054-LRR

vs.

ANTHONY CHARLES SADDLER, JR., Defendant.

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

The instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I will now give you some additional instructions.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important. This is true even though some of those I gave you at the beginning of and during trial are not repeated here.

The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room. I emphasize, however, that this does not mean they are more important than my earlier instructions. Again, all instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER \_\_\_\_

In considering these instructions, attach no importance or significance whatsoever to the order in which they are given.

Neither in these instructions nor in any ruling, action or remark that I have made during this trial have I intended to give any opinion or suggestion as to what the facts are or what your verdicts should be.

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law was different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you just verdicts, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense and the law as I give it to you.

I have mentioned the word "evidence." The "evidence" in this case consists of the following: the testimony of the witnesses, stipulations of the parties and the documents and other things received as exhibits.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

- 1. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by the lawyers are not evidence.
- 2. Anything that might have been said by jurors or the attorneys during the jury selection process is not evidence.
- 3. Objections are not evidence. The parties have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
- 4. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
- 5. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

During the trial, documents were referred to but they were not admitted into evidence and, therefore, they will not be available to you in the jury room during deliberations.

There are two types of evidence from which a jury may properly find the truth as to the facts of a case: direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the evidence of the witness to a fact or facts of which they have knowledge by means of their senses. The other is circumstantial evidence—the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to the existence or nonexistence of certain facts. The law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

The jurors are the sole judges of the weight and credibility of the testimony and the value to be given to each witness who has testified in this case. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

In a previous instruction, I instructed you generally on the credibility of witnesses. I now give you this further instruction on how the credibility of a witness can be "impeached" and how you are to consider the testimony of certain witnesses.

A witness may be discredited or impeached by contradictory evidence; by showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter; by showing the witness has a motive to be untruthful; or by evidence that at some other time the witness has said or done something, or has failed to say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness's present testimony.

You have heard testimony that Marlon Earsery and Jesse Davis hope to receive reduced sentences on criminal charges in return for cooperation with the prosecution in this case. These witnesses entered into an agreement with the government providing that, if they provide substantial assistance to the government in its investigation of crimes, the government may recommend a less severe sentence. Marlon Earsery is subject to mandatory minimum sentence, that is, a sentence that the law provides must be of a certain minimum length. If the prosecutor handling a witness's case believes the witness provided substantial assistance, the prosecutor can file in the court in which the charges are pending against the witness a motion to reduce his sentence below the mandatory minimum sentence. The judge has no power to reduce a sentence for substantial assistance unless the government, acting through the United States Attorney, files such a motion. If such a motion for reduction of sentence for substantial assistance is filed by the government, then it is up to the judge to decide whether to reduce the sentence at all and, if so, how

# INSTRUCTION NUMBER \_\_\_\_ (Cont'd)

much to reduce it. Marlon Earsery and Jesse Davis's testimony was received in evidence and may be considered by you. You may give the testimony of these witnesses such weight as you think it deserves. Whether or not certain testimony by a witness was influenced by the witness's hope of receiving a reduced sentence is for you to decide.

You have heard evidence that some witnesses were once convicted of a crime. You may use that evidence only to help you decide whether to believe these witnesses and how much weight to give their testimony.

You have heard testimony from a person described as an expert. A person who, by knowledge, skill, training, education or experience, has become an expert in some field may state his opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for his opinion.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used and all the other evidence in the case.

# 

The government and the defendant have stipulated—that is, they have agreed—that certain facts are as counsel have stated. You must, therefore, treat those facts as having been proved.

# INSTRUCTION NUMBER <u>10</u>

You have heard testimony that the defendant made statements to law enforcement in this case. It is for you to decide: (1) whether the defendant made the statements and (2) if so, how much weight you should give to them. In making these two decisions you should consider all of the evidence, including the circumstances under which the statements may have been made.

Exhibits have been admitted into evidence and are to be considered along with all of the other evidence to assist you in reaching your verdicts. You are not to tamper with the exhibits or their contents, and each exhibit should be returned into open court, along with your verdicts, in the same condition as it was received by you.

The Indictment in this case charges the defendant with five different offenses.

In Count 1, the Indictment charges the defendant with knowingly and unlawfully combining, conspiring, confederating and agreeing with others to distribute:

- (1) 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base, a Schedule II controlled substance;
- (2) a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance; and
- (3) less than 50 kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance

within 1,000 feet of a playground, to wit: (1) the playground commonly known as Belmont Park, legally recorded as Elks Memorial Park, located between W. Wellington Street and Randall Street and between Belmont Avenue and Norimer Street in Waterloo, Iowa; and (2) the playground commonly known as Hope Martin Park located on Fletcher Avenue between Sergeant Road and University Avenue, in Waterloo, Iowa.

In Count 2, the Indictment charges the defendant with knowingly and intentionally possessing with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of a playground, to wit: the playground commonly known as Hope Martin Park located on Fletcher Avenue between Sergeant Road and University Avenue, in Waterloo, Iowa.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER 12 (Cont'd)

In Count 3, the Indictment charges the defendant with knowingly and intentionally possessing with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base, a Schedule II controlled substance, within 1,000 feet of a playground, to wit: the playground commonly known as Hope Martin Park located on Fletcher Avenue between Sergeant Road and University Avenue, in Waterloo, Iowa.

In Count 4, the Indictment charges that the defendant did one or more of the following:

- (1) use and carry during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime for which he may be prosecuted in a Court of the United States—the crime of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base, cocaine and marijuana, and possession with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base; and/or
- (2) possess in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime for which he may be prosecuted in a Court of the United States—the crime of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base, cocaine and marijuana, and possess with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base;

#### one or more firearms, to wit:

- 1. a loaded .45 Hi-Point with obliterated serial number;
- 2. a loaded 9mm Taurus, serial number TVF10038;
- 3. a loaded 9mm Bryco Jennings, serial number 1384913;
- 4. a loaded 9mm Bryco Jennings, serial number 752741;
- 5. a 9mm Luger, serial number P142205; and/or
- 6. a .22 Sterling Arms handgun, serial number E30970.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER 12 (Cont'd)

In Count 5, the Indictment charges that the defendant did knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate and agree with others to:

- (1) use and carry during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime for which he may be prosecuted in a Court of the United States—the crime of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base, cocaine and marijuana, and possession with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base; and
- (2) possess in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime for which he may be prosecuted in a Court of the United States—the crime of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base, cocaine and marijuana, and possess with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base;

#### one or more firearms, to wit:

- 1. a loaded .45 Hi-Point with obliterated serial number;
- 2. a loaded 9mm Taurus, serial number TVF10038;
- 3. a loaded 9mm Bryco Jennings, serial number 1384913;
- 4. a loaded 9mm Bryco Jennings, serial number 752741;
- 5. a 9mm Luger, serial number P142205; and
- 6. a .22 Sterling Arms handgun, serial number E30970.

The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the crimes with which he is charged.

As I told you at the beginning of trial, an Indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Thus the defendant, even though charged, begins the trial with no evidence against him.

# INSTRUCTION NUMBER 12 (Cont'd)

The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find a defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each essential element of the crimes charged.

Keep in mind that each count charges a separate crime. You must consider each count separately and return a separate verdict for each count.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent. Accordingly, the fact that the defendant did not testify must not be considered by you in any way, or even discussed, in arriving at your verdicts.

Count 1 of the Indictment charges the defendant with conspiring to distribute a controlled substance. This offense has three essential elements, which are:

One, from about 2003, and continuing through about February 26, 2006, two or more persons reached an agreement or came to an understanding to commit one or more of the following offenses:

Object 1: To distribute cocaine base;

Object 2: To distribute cocaine;

Object 3: To distribute marijuana;

Object 4: To distribute cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a playground, Belmont Park and/or Hope Martin Park;

Object 5: To distribute cocaine within 1,000 feet of a playground, Belmont Park and/or Hope Martin Park; and/or

Object 6: To distribute marijuana within 1,000 feet of a playground, Belmont Park and/or Hope Martin Park

Two, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally joined in the agreement or understanding, either at the time it was first reached or at some later time while it was still in effect; and

Three, at the time the defendant joined in the agreement or understanding, he knew the purpose of the agreement or understanding.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER 13 (Cont'd)

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated regarding the location of Belmont Park and Hope Martin Park. This stipulation is set forth in Instruction Number 19.

To assist you in determining whether there was an agreement or understanding to commit the crime of distributing controlled substances (Object 1, Object 2 and Object 3), you are advised that the elements of this crime are set out in Instruction Number 15.

To assist you in deciding whether there was an agreement or understanding to commit the crime of distributing controlled substances within 1,000 feet of a playground (Object 4, Object 5 and Object 6), you are advised that the elements of that crime are set out in Instruction Number 15.

If you unanimously find each of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged under Count 1; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged under Count 1.

In determining whether the defendant is guilty of the offense charged in Count 1, the government is not required to prove that the amount or quantity of controlled substances was as charged in the Indictment. The government need only prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there was some measurable amount of controlled substances involved.

If you find the defendant guilty of the offense of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base (Object 1 of Count 1) or guilty of the offense of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a playground (Object 4 of Count 1) you will need to determine whether the quantity of cocaine base involved in the offense was 50 grams or more; 5 grams or more, but less than 50 grams; or less than 5 grams.

The burden of proof is on the government to establish a quantity beyond a reasonable doubt. For your information, one gram equals 1,000 milligrams, one ounce equals 28.35 grams, one pound equals 453.6 grams and one kilogram equals 1,000 grams.

With regard to Object 1, Object 2 and Object 3 of Count 1 of the Indictment, you are instructed that the crime of distributing a controlled substance has two essential elements, which are:

- (1) Intentional transfer of a controlled substance by a person; and
- (2) Who, at the time of the transfer, knew that it was a controlled substance.

With regard to Object 4, Object 5 and Object 6 of Count 1 of the Indictment, you are instructed that the crime of distributing a controlled substance within 1,000 feet of a playground has three essential elements which are:

- (1) Intentional transfer of a controlled substance by a person;
- (2) Who, at the time of the transfer, knew that it was a controlled substance; and
- (3) The transfer took place within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a playground.

Keep in mind that Count 1 of the Indictment charges a *conspiracy* to commit the charged offenses and does not require the government to prove that the charged offenses were actually committed.

You are instructed that, under Count 1, it is not necessary for the government to prove a conspiracy to commit all the offenses. It would be sufficient if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, a conspiracy to commit one of these offenses. However, in that event, in order to return a verdict of guilty, you must unanimously agree upon which one or more of the offenses was the object of the conspiracy.

# INSTRUCTION NUMBER 15 (Cont'd)

The government does not have to prove that the co-conspirators or the defendant agreed, knew or intended that the distribution would take place within 1,000 feet of a playground. However, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a location at which the co-conspirators agreed that the distribution would take place or did take place was within 1,000 feet of a playground.

Count 2 of the Indictment charges the defendant with possession with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine within 1,000 feet of a playground. This offense has four essential elements, which are:

One, on or about February 26, 2006, the defendant was in possession of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine;

Two, the defendant knew he was in possession of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine;

Three, the defendant intended to distribute some or all of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine to another person; and

Four, the offense took place within 1,000 feet of a playground, Hope Martin Park.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated regarding the location of Hope Martin Park. This stipulation is set forth in Instruction Number 19.

If you unanimously find each of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged under Count 2; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged under Count 2.

Count 3 of the Indictment charges the defendant with possession with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a playground. This offense has four essential elements, which are:

One, on or about February 26, 2006, the defendant was in possession of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base;

Two, the defendant knew he was in possession of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base;

Three, the defendant intended to distribute some or all of the mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base to another person; and

Four, the offense took place within 1,000 feet of a playground, Hope Martin Park.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated regarding the location of Hope Martin Park. This stipulation is set forth in Instruction Number 19.

If you unanimously find each of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged under Count 3; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged under Count 3.

In determining whether the defendant is guilty of the offense charged in Count 3, the government is not required to prove that the amount or quantity of cocaine base was as charged in the Indictment. The government need only prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there was some measurable amount of cocaine base involved.

If you find the defendant guilty of the offense of possession with intent to distribute cocaine base within 1,000 feet of a playground, you will need to determine whether the quantity of cocaine base involved in the offense was 50 grams or more; 5 grams or more, but less than 50 grams; or less than 5 grams.

The burden of proof is on the government to establish a quantity beyond a reasonable doubt.

For your information, one gram equals 1,000 milligrams, one ounce equals 28.35 grams, one pound equals 453.6 grams and one kilogram equals 1,000 grams.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated that the park commonly known as Belmont Park, legally recorded as Elks Memorial Park, located between W. Wellington Street and Randall Street between Belmont Avenue and Normier Street in Waterloo, Iowa, meets the definition of a playground. The parties further stipulate that Belmont Park is within 1,000 feet of the residence of 1264 Mullins located in Waterloo, Iowa. You must, therefore, treat those facts as having been proved.

You are instructed that the government and the defendant have stipulated that the park commonly known as Hope Martin Park located on Fletcher Avenue between Sergeant Road and University Avenue in Waterloo, Iowa, meets the definition of a playground. The parties further stipulate that Hope Martin Park is within 1,000 feet of the residences of 112 Hartman and 1264 Mullins in Waterloo, Iowa. You must, therefore, treat those facts as having been proved.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER <u>20</u>

Count 4 of the Indictment charges the defendant with using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime and/or possessing a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime. This offense has three essential elements, which are:

One, the defendant committed one or more of the following crimes:

- (1) conspiracy to distribute cocaine base, cocaine and/or marijuana as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment;
- (2) possession with intent to distribute cocaine as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment; and/or
- (3) possession with intent to distribute cocaine base as charged in Count 3 of the Indictment

Two, from about 2003 through about February 26, 2006, the defendant did one or more of the following:

- (1) the defendant knowingly used or carried a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime, and/or
- (2) the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime

Three, the firearm was one or more of the following:

- (1) a loaded .45 Hi-Point with obliterated serial number;
- (2) a loaded 9mm Taurus, serial number TVF10038;

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER <u>20</u> (Cont'd)

- (3) a loaded 9mm Bryco Jennings, serial number 1384918;
- (4) a loaded 9mm Bryco Jennings, serial number 752741;
- (5) a loaded 9mm Luger, serial number P142205; and/or
- (6) a .22 Sterling Arms handgun, serial number E30970.

If you unanimously find each of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged under Count 4; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged under Count 4.

Count 5 charges the defendant with conspiracy to use or carry a firearm during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime and/or possess a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime. This offense has three essential elements, which are:

One, from about 2003 through about February 2006, two or more persons reached an agreement or came to an understanding to commit one or more of the following offenses;

Object 1: to use or carry one or more firearms during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime; and/or

Object 2: to possess one or more firearms in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime

Two, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally joined in the agreement or understanding either at the time it was first reached or at some later time while it was still in effect;

Three, at the time the defendant joined in the agreement or understanding, he knew the purpose of the agreement or understanding.

To assist you in determining whether there was an agreement or understanding to use or carry one or more firearms during and in relation to a drug trafficking crime (Object 1), you are advised that the elements of this crime are set out in Instruction Number 20.

To assist you in deciding whether there was an agreement or understanding to possess one or more firearms in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime (Object 2), you are advised that the elements of that crime are set out in Instruction Number 20.

### INSTRUCTION NUMBER 21 (Cont'd)

If you unanimously find each of these essential elements have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged under Count 5; otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime charged under Count 5.

The term "firearm" means any weapon (including a starter gun) which will, or is designed to, or may be readily converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive.

The phrase "used a firearm" means that the firearm was actively employed in the course of the commission of the drug trafficking crime. You may find that the firearm was used during the commission of the drug trafficking crime if you find that it was brandished, displayed or fired or attempted to be fired.

You may find that a firearm was "carried" in the course of the commission of a drug trafficking crime if you find that the defendant had a firearm on his person or was transporting a firearm in a vehicle.

Possession of a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime means that the possession must in some way advance the drug trafficking crime.

In considering whether the government has met its burden of proving the offense of conspiracy as alleged in Counts 1 and 5 of the Indictment, you are further instructed as follows:

The government must prove that the defendant reached an agreement or understanding with at least one other person. It makes no difference whether that person is a defendant or is named in the Indictment.

The "agreement or understanding" need not be an express or formal agreement or be in writing or cover all the details of how it is to be carried out. Nor is it necessary that members have directly stated between themselves the details or purpose of the scheme.

You should understand that merely being present at the scene of an event, or merely acting in the same way as others or merely being associated with others, does not prove that a person has joined in an agreement or understanding. A person who has no knowledge of a conspiracy but who happens to act in a way which advances some purpose of one, does not thereby become a member.

But a person may join in an agreement or understanding, as required by this element, without knowing all the details of the agreement or understanding, and without knowing who all the other members are. Further, it is not necessary that a person agree to play any particular part in carrying out the agreement or understanding. A person may become a member of a conspiracy even if that person agrees to play only a minor part in the conspiracy, as long as that person has an understanding of the unlawful nature of the plan and voluntarily and intentionally joins in it.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER 23 (Cont'd)

You must decide, after considering all of the evidence, whether the conspiracy alleged in the Indictment existed. If you find that the alleged conspiracy did exist, you must also decide whether the defendant voluntarily and intentionally joined the conspiracy, either at the time it was first formed or at some later time while it was still in effect. In making that decision, you must consider only evidence of the defendant's own actions and statements. You may not consider actions and pretrial statements of others except to the extent that pretrial statements of others describe something that had been said or done by the defendant.

You are instructed as a matter of law that cocaine base is a Schedule II controlled substance. During this trial, you have heard the terms "crack cocaine" and "a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base" referred to interchangeably. You are instructed that "crack cocaine" and "a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base" refer to the same substance. You must ascertain whether or not the substance in question as to Counts 1, 3, 4 and 5 was cocaine base. In so doing, you may consider all the evidence in the case which may aid in the determination of that issue.

You are instructed as a matter of law that cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance. You must ascertain whether or not the substance in question in Counts 1, 2, 4 and 5 was cocaine. In so doing, you may consider all the evidence in the case which may aid in the determination of that issue.

You are instructed as a matter of law that marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance. You must ascertain whether or not the substance in question in Counts 1, 4 and 5 was marijuana. In so doing, you may consider all the evidence in the case which may aid in the determination of that issue.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that a conspiracy existed and that the defendant was one of its members, then you may consider acts knowingly done and statements knowingly made by the defendant's co-conspirators during the existence of the conspiracy and in furtherance of it as evidence pertaining to the defendant even though they were done or made in the absence of and without the knowledge of the defendant. This includes acts done or statements made before the defendant joined the conspiracy, because a person who knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally joins an existing conspiracy is responsible for all of the conduct of the co-conspirators from the beginning of the conspiracy.

As used in these instructions, the term "distribute" means to deliver a controlled substance to the possession of another person. The term "deliver" means the actual or attempted transfer of a controlled substance to the possession of another person. No consideration for the delivery need exist, and it is not necessary that money or anything of value change hands. The law is directed at the act of "distribution" of a controlled substance and does not concern itself with any need for a "sale" to occur.

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may have sole or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control over a thing at a given time is in actual possession of it.

A person who, although not in actual possession, has both the power and intention at a given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing, either directly or through another person or persons, is in constructive possession of it.

If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is joint.

Whenever the word "possession" is used in these instructions, it includes "actual" as well as "constructive" possession and also "sole" as well as "joint" possession.

Intent may be proven by circumstantial evidence. It rarely can be established by other means. While witnesses may see or hear and thus be able to give direct evidence of what a person does or fails to do, there can be no eyewitness account of the state of mind with which the acts were done or omitted. But what a defendant does or fails to do may indicate intent or lack of intent to commit an offense.

You may consider it reasonable to draw the inference and find that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done, but you are not required to do so. As I have said, it is entirely up to you to decide what facts to find from the evidence.

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER <u>30</u>

An act is done "knowingly" if the defendant realized what he was doing and did not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. The government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his acts or omissions were unlawful. You may consider the evidence of the defendant's acts and words, along with all other evidence, in deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly.

You will note the Indictment charges that the offenses were committed "from about" or "on or about" certain dates. The government need not prove with certainty the exact date or the exact time period of an offense charged. It is sufficient if the evidence established that an offense occurred within a reasonable time of the date or period of time alleged in the Indictment.

Throughout the trial, you have been permitted to take notes. Your notes should be used only as memory aids, and you should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence.

In any conflict between your notes, a fellow juror's notes and your memory, your memory must prevail. Remember that notes sometimes contain the mental impressions of the note taker and can be used only to help you recollect what the testimony was. At the conclusion of your deliberations, your notes should be left in the jury room for destruction.

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdicts, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach an agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because a verdict—whether guilty or not guilty—must be unanimous.

Each of you must make your own conscientious decision, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

#### INSTRUCTION NUMBER 33 (Cont'd)

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the marshal or court security officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone—including me—how your votes stand numerically.

Finally, your verdicts must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. The verdicts, whether guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdicts should be—that is entirely for you to decide.

Attached to these instructions you will find Verdict Forms and Interrogatories. These are simply the written notices of the decisions that you reach in this case. The answers to these Verdict Forms and Interrogatories must be the unanimous decisions of the jury.

You will take the Verdict Forms and Interrogatories to the jury room, and when you have completed your deliberations and each of you has agreed on answers to the Verdict Forms and Interrogatories, your foreperson will fill out the Verdict Forms and Interrogatories, sign and date them and advise the marshal or court security officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

Finally, members of the jury, take this case and give it your most careful consideration, and then without fear or favor, prejudice or bias of any kind, return such verdicts as accord with the evidence and these instructions.

March 7, 2007 DATE

LINDA R. READE

CHIEF JUDGE, U. S. DISTRICT COURT